### Special Birch Run Township Planning Commission Meeting May 8, 2017 at 7:00 p.m. Birch Run Township Meeting Room 11935 Silver Creek Dr, Birch Run, Michigan 48415

# **Minutes**

The meeting was called to order by Chairman CJ Norris at 7:00 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

### Members Present:

CJ Norris, Chair; Steve Schaar, Vice Chair; Michelle Duncan, Recording Secretary; Members Mike Marr, Fred Sheridan, Ed Munson, Elaine Parlberg and Helen Morse

### Members Absent:

None

### Motion to Excuse Absentees from the Meeting

None

### **Others Present:**

Doug Piggott and Scott Kree, Rowe Environmental Services; Dave Matzke, Birch Run Township Fire Chief; Ray Letterman, Birch Run Township Supervisor; Kurt Kiesling, Birch Run Township Trustee; Joe Claybaugh, JBS Contracting; Beverly Totten

# Roll Call:

In Attendance:Schaar, Marr, Sheridan, Norris, Munson, Parlberg, MorseAbsent:None

### **Agenda Amendments:**

Motion by Sheridan, seconded by Marr to add Scott Kree from Rowe Professional Services to the agenda to review the architectural standards from the April 10, 2017 meeting

Motion Carried by Unanimous Voice Vote

# Minutes:

Approval of April 10, 2017 Regular Meeting Minutes Motion by Parlberg, seconded by Marr, to Approve the April 10, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes as amended below:

Parlberg stated the Zoning Board of Appeals section should show Mike Musial was re-elected as Chair, Dale Trinklein was re-elected as Vice-Chair and Kurt Kiesling was re-elected as Secretary.

Motion Carried by Unanimous Voice Vote

# **Public Meeting:**

Public Hearing: Birch Run Township Fire Station

- i. Public Meeting opened at 7:05 p.m.
- Notification Requirements: ii.
  - Birch Run/Bridgeport Herald (April 12, 2017 edition) •
  - Township Office Informational Board
  - Occupants within 300'

#### iii. Applicant presentation

Joe Claybaugh, JBS Contracting, states they are proposing an 80x162 foot building for the new fire station on Dixie Highway. The building will have two entrances on Dixie (one as an exit only and the other a two-way entrance/exit. He states firetrucks will be able to pull thru the driveway easily. It will be constructed of 4-foot high block with metal siding. The front entrance will be cedar beams and the drainage will be in the back flowing east and will flow into the County drain. He goes on to say lights will be gone by the property line to the south and north and most of the lights are not an issue to the west. There is no light trespass off the property.

Marr questioned the fact that there was no interior layout of the building. Piggott states the parking is based on the number of people. It wasn't needed for the interior because of zoning requirements. Marr then asked if a permit needs to be obtained. Claybaugh states they will get permits for soil erosion and any permits required from the Saginaw County Road

commission after zoning approval is made.

- Public Comments/Correspondence iv. None
- Staff Comments. (Rowe Professional Services) v.

Piggott presented his findings.

- 1. No proposed buffering requirements for the parking lot landscaping.
- 2. Existing trees are a buffer and are not as required in the zoning ordinance.
- 3. Lighting section adjacent to residents needs to be 5 feet high and used as an obscuring wall. This will block headlights from cars on Dixie Highway. It currently has a 4-foot elevation change which is serving as a natural berm. The Planning Commission can write an ordinance to waive or modify this requirement.
- 4. Piggott asked if any wetland concerns have been considered. Claybaugh states no there are none based on their review.
- 5. Two loading and unloading spaces need to be added.
- 6. Landscaping can be added later.

- 7. Stipulations of permits and Saginaw County Road Commission drain and discharge permits need to be on the final site plan.
- 8. Driveways must be 100 feet away from the building but this can be waived in an ordinance.
- 9. Calculations for outlet control and emergency overflow in basins needs to be on final drawings.

# **Rowe Professional Services Summation:**

Piggott sees nothing to prevent approval of the site plans, except for the items listed above. Parlberg asks if trees used as buffers are attractive. Piggott states there are many trees already there and they are appealing. Marr states you can't see the house to the south as there is a heavily wooded area on the south side of the property and a commercial building is already on the north side. Piggott states the north side of the property is zoned agricultural and they are far enough from the site. Schaar if the building is meeting the 100-foot center requirements for in and out traffic

Motion by Marr, seconded by Sheridan to approve the special use permit for Birch Run Fire Department based on Rowe Professional Services evaluation of the seven standards, as per attached.

Ayes:	Marr, Sheridan, Norris, Munson, Parlberg, Morse, Schaar
Nays:	None

- vi. Close Public Meeting at 7:37 p.m.
- vii. Planning Commission Discussion and Recommendation

Conditions include modification of existing site plan to include County, State, Federal permits and the addition of loading and unloading spaces. Norris states the Planning Commission can waive the landscaping for visibility purposes. Marr states that due to the height difference and view to the south, it will avoid light trespass. Piggott states the light will be adjacent for residential use. He goes on to state if the driveway is close to 100 feet that it will be needed for traffic flow for the fire trucks.

Motion by Sheridan, seconded by Marr to approve the site plan including waivers for landscaping from Article 17 (Buffer and Parking Lot), included in Driveway Spacing 19.03. conditions that include modification of existing site plans to include County, State, and Federal permits and the addition of two loading and unloading spaces.

Ayes:Sheridan, Norris, Munson, Parlberg, Morse, Schaar, MarrNays:None

### **Old Business:**

Committee report on Private Roads, which was postponed until further notice and discussed at the planning commission meeting. Discussion of the Committee report on Private Roads has been further postponed indefinitely. Aging Parent Structures has also been postponed.

### **Open Discussion for Issues not on the Agenda:**

Public comments/correspondence received:	None
Planning Commission Members:	None
Members in the audience:	None

### **Reports:**

Township Board Representative (Fred Sheridan): Sheridan states the Planning Commission needs to authorize Rowe Professional Services to proceed with reviewing the master plan. Motion by Sheridan, seconded by Marr to authorize Rowe Professional Services to proceed with updating the master plan.

Motion Carried by Unanimous Voice Vote

Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) Representative (Elaine Parlberg) reporting nothing new. Sheridan states there is a public hearing scheduled for June 1, 2017 for an ordinance change for the Mini Storage facility.

### New Business:

None

# **Other**

Scott Kree, Rowe Professional Services, reviewed the architectural standards. He asked the Planning Commission to review the sample ordinances he brought and decide what we might want to do and get back with him. He also stated that the examples he supplied were probably stricter than we needed as they were for a city or village. He states the corridor should look the same as the overlay district for design standards. Kree also reviewed the building forms, heights, windows and roof lines. Kree states the Planning Commission can list these items as used to fight blight. They can be listed indigenous to the area and can list specific items such as using specific materials for longevity. Piggott states the wording should be explicit, not general and could be different for each zone.

### **Announcements:**

### Upcoming meetings for 2017. All meetings are held in the Governmental Center unless noted:

Township Board Meeting: Planning Commission Special Meeting: Planning Commission Quarterly Meeting: ZBA Semi Annual Meeting: DDA Meeting: 2nd Tuesday of each month Next Meeting is scheduled for June 1, 2017 Next Meeting is scheduled for June 19, 2017 3rd Tuesday in April and October To be announced

# Adjournment:

Motion by Marr, Support by Sheridan to adjourn.

Motion Carried by Unanimous Voice Vote

Planning Commission Meeting ended at 8:00 pm.

CJ Norris Chairman Michelle Duncan Recording Secretary

	Does Site Meet Requirements			
	STANDARDS		Yes N	
Township.	nd in accordance with the Master Pla	n of the		
COMMENTS/FINDINGS O	F FACT: cation is general/community commercial			
Applicants Comments:	Zoning Administrators Comments: The development is acting as infill development on a currently vacant parcel. The development meets all the location criteria.	Planning Com	mission Co	ommer
2. Be harmonious with and purposes of this Ordinance	in accordance with the general objective	es, intent and	16.96	
COMMENTS/FINDINGS O	F FACT:	- Conternation	a summind	
Applicants Comments:	Zoning Administrators Comments: The proposed development will help to assure high quality, rapid responses, and cost effective public safety services.	Planning Com	mission Co	ommer
and appropriate in appea general vicinity and that su area in which it is propose met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic c. The location of vehic COMMENTS/FINDINGS C	, and materials of construction of propos cular circulation. cular use or parking areas. DF FACT:	aracter of the naracter of the nent has been red structures.		
and appropriate in appea general vicinity and that su area in which it is propose met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic	rance with the existing or intended char ich a use will not change the essential ch ed. In determining whether this requirem a given to: , and materials of construction of proposi cular circulation. cular use or parking areas.	aracter of the naracter of the nent has been	nmission C	omme
and appropriate in appea general vicinity and that su area in which it is propose met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic c. The location of vehic <b>COMMENTS/FINDINGS C</b> Applicants Comments:	rance with the existing or intended characteristic a use will not change the essential characteristic and the essential of th	aracter of the haracter of the hent has been hed structures.		ommer
<ul> <li>and appropriate in appeal general vicinity and that survey area in which it is proposed met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic c. The location of vehic COMMENTS/FINDINGS CAPPlicants Comments:</li> <li>4. Not be hazardous or disvicinity.</li> </ul>	rance with the existing or intended character a use will not change the essential ched. In determining whether this requirements given to: a and materials of construction of propose cular circulation. Sular use or parking areas. <b>DF FACT:</b> Zoning Administrators Comments: The proposed project appears to be similar to character of the commercial area. Sturbing to existing or future uses in the	aracter of the haracter of the hent has been hed structures.		ommei
<ul> <li>and appropriate in appeal general vicinity and that survey area in which it is proposed met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic c. The location of vehic COMMENTS/FINDINGS CApplicants Comments:</li> <li>4. Not be hazardous or disvicinity.</li> <li>COMMENTS/FINDINGS COMMENTS/FINDINGS COMMENTS/</li></ul>	rance with the existing or intended character a use will not change the essential ched. In determining whether this requirements given to: a, and materials of construction of proposicular circulation. Sular use or parking areas. <b>DF FACT:</b> Zoning Administrators Comments: The proposed project appears to be similar to character of the commercial area. Sturbing to existing or future uses in the <b>DF FACT:</b>	aracter of the haracter of the hent has been eed structures. Planning Con		
<ul> <li>and appropriate in appeal general vicinity and that survey area in which it is proposed met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic c. The location of vehic COMMENTS/FINDINGS CAPPlicants Comments:</li> <li>4. Not be hazardous or disvicinity.</li> </ul>	rance with the existing or intended character a use will not change the essential ched. In determining whether this requirements given to: a and materials of construction of propose cular circulation. Sular use or parking areas. <b>DF FACT:</b> Zoning Administrators Comments: The proposed project appears to be similar to character of the commercial area. Sturbing to existing or future uses in the	aracter of the haracter of the hent has been hed structures.		
<ul> <li>and appropriate in appeal general vicinity and that survey area in which it is proposed met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic c. The location of vehic COMMENTS/FINDINGS CApplicants Comments:</li> <li>4. Not be hazardous or disvicinity.</li> <li>COMMENTS/FINDINGS COMMENTS/FINDINGS COMMENTS/</li></ul>	rance with the existing or intended character a use will not change the essential ched. In determining whether this requirements given to: and materials of construction of proposicular circulation. Soular circulation. Soular use or parking areas. DF FACT: Zoning Administrators Comments: The proposed project appears to be similar to character of the commercial area. Sturbing to existing or future uses in the DF FACT: Zoning Administrators Comments: There does not appear to be any hazardous or disturbing use to	aracter of the haracter of the hent has been eed structures. Planning Con		
<ul> <li>and appropriate in appeal general vicinity and that survey area in which it is proposed met, consideration shall be a. The bulk, placement b. Pedestrian and vehic c. The location of vehic COMMENTS/FINDINGS CApplicants Comments:</li> <li>4. Not be hazardous or disvicinity.</li> <li>COMMENTS/FINDINGS COMMENTS/FINDINGS COMMENTS/</li></ul>	rance with the existing or intended character a use will not change the essential ched. In determining whether this requirements given to: and materials of construction of proposicular circulation. Soular circulation. Soular use or parking areas. DF FACT: Zoning Administrators Comments: The proposed project appears to be similar to character of the commercial area. Sturbing to existing or future uses in the DF FACT: Zoning Administrators Comments: There does not appear to be any hazardous or disturbing use to	aracter of the haracter of the hent has been eed structures. Planning Con		

				es Site I	
	STANDARDS			quirem	-
highways, streets, police, water and sewage faciliti	by essential public facilities and serv fire protection, drainage structures, re es and schools, and minimize the im I development on adjacent properties.	fuse disposal,	Yes	No	N
Applicants Comments:	Zoning Administrators Comments:	Planning Con	missio	n Com	me
	The proposed development will be utilizing existing public facilities and services.				
of operation that will be det	es, processes, materials and equipmen rimental to any person, property or the g duction of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes, g <b>PF FACT:</b>	eneral welfare			
Applicants Comments:	Zoning Administrators Comments: The proposed develop does not appear to be proposing these harmful effects to the general welfare.	Planning Commission Com		me	
	ditional requirements at public cost for p	public facilities			
and services. COMMENTS/FINDINGS C	E EACT.				
	The proposed development is located near existing services and				
R:\sdsk\Proj\05c0295 Restored\Doc\	facilities.	wp- Fire Barn-11235	Dixie		
R:\sdsk\Proj\05c0295_Restored\Doc\ Iighway\Fire Station-SLU Standards	Planning Commission\Site Plan Review\Birch Run T	vp- Fire Barn-11235	Dixie	100	
	Planning Commission\Site Plan Review\Birch Run T	vp- Fire Barn-11235	Dixie	103 1094	
	Planning Commission\Site Plan Review\Birch Run T	vp- Fire Barn-11235	Dixie		
	Planning Commission\Site Plan Review\Birch Run T for Approval.doex	vp- Fire Barn-11235	Dixie		